

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/085,239	Applicant(s) WARD ET AL.
	Examiner Leslie A. Royds Draper	Art Unit 1629
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds Draper (Exr).</u> (2) <u>Sean Coughlin (Attny for Applicant).</u>	(3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>31 March 2011</u>	Time: <u>PM</u>	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p> Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ </p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: N/A</p> <p>Claims discussed: 40, 43 and 47</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: N/A</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. </p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /Leslie A. Royds Draper/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		